

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Western District of North Carolina

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

■ DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ is reduced to _____

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Original Offense Level: 36 Amended Offense Level: 32
Criminal History Category: III Criminal History Category: III
Original Guideline Range: 240-293 months Amended Guideline Range: 240 months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.
- Other (explain): Defendant was sentenced to the statutory mandatory minimum. Therefore, Amendment 750 does not change the guideline calculation and Defendant is ineligible for a reduction in his sentence.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated March 26, 1998 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: June 6, 2012

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)


Martin Reidinger
United States District Judge